| 1   | ELLIOT BLOCK SBN 116999   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|-----|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 2   | TY D. MOORE SBN 262374 Attorneys for Complainant  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3   | DEPARTMENT OF RESOURCES RECYCLING & RECOVERY  1001 I Street, 24th Floor   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4   | P. O. Box 4025  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5   | Sacramento, CA 95812-4025<br>Telephone: (916) 341-6756  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|     | Facsimile: (916) 319-7238   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 7 | STATE (   | DE CALIEODNIA                                       |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|     | STATE OF CALIFORNIA  DEDARTMENT OF RESOURCES RECYCLING AND RECOVERY   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8   | DEPARTMENT OF RESOURCES RECYCLING AND RECOVERY  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9   | In the matter of:   | ) ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION FOR RIGID                 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10  |   | ) PLASTIC PACKAGING CONTAINER                       |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11  | American Tack & Hardware Co., Inc.  | PENALTIES  PUBLIC RESOURCES CODE  \$ 42300, ET SEQ. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12  | (AmerTac)   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13  |   | )   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14  | RESPONDENT  | OAH NO: 2017110654                                  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15  |   | AGENCY NO: 2017-001-RPPC                            |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16  |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17  |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18  | The California Department of Resources Re   | ecycling and Recovery ("CALRECYCLE"), formerly the  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19  | California Integrated Waste Management Board ("Board"), is filing this Decision to seek penalties in the amount |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20  | of \$61,000 from American Tack & Hardware Co., Inc. (hereinafter "AmerTac" or "RESPONDENT").                    |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 21  | CalRecycle succeeded to the Board's authority on January 1, 2010, pursuant to Public Resources Code ("PRC")     |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 22  | § 40401(a)(1). CalRecycle is authorized to enforce the California Rigid Plastic Packaging Container ("RPPC")    |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 23  | law, PRC § 42300 et seq. and the California Code of Regulations ("CCR"), Chapter 4 of Division 7 of Title 14, § |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 24  | 17943 et seq.   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 25  |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 26  | PROCED  | URAL HISTORY  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 27  | This ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION FOR RIGID PLASTIC PACKAGING CONTAINER  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 28  | PENALTIES ("Decision"), is based on the STIPULATION FOR THE ISSUANCE OF ADMINISTRATIVE                          |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|     | , o,  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|     |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

22

21

24

23

25

26

27 28 DECISION FOR THE IMPOSITON OF RIGID PLASTIC PACKAGING CONTAINER ADMINISTRATIVE PENALIES ("Stipulation") signed by Rod Roghan, of Roghan Jones LLC, Attorney for RESPONDENT. The parties to this Decision are CALRECYCLE and AmerTac (hereafter referred to collectively as "the parties"). On September 5, 2017, CALRECYCLE issued an accusation to seek penalties in the amount of \$81,000 for violations of Public Resources Code (PRC) § 42300-42345 and Title 14 of the California Code of Regulations (CCR) § 17943-17949, for calendar year 2014, for: negligently failing to certify container compliance: negligently submitting a compliance certification that was incomplete and inaccurate in violation of 14 CCR § 17945.2 and 17945.3; and, negligently failing to submit a compliance certification by the deadline proscribed in 14 CCR § 17945.2(c). The RESPONDENT filed a "Notice of Defense/Request for Hearing" and a hearing was scheduled thereafter. That hearing was continued for good cause. On or about June 24, 2018, the parties in this matter signed the STIPULATION FOR THE ISSUANCE OF ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION FOR THE IMPOSITON OF RIGID PLASTIC PACKAGING CONTAINER ADMINISTRATIVE PENALTIES. The Stipulation resolved all issues raised in this matter, in exchange for payment of the penalty amount of Sixty One Thousand Dollars (\$61,000.00); of that amount, an immediate penalty payment of Twenty Thousand and Five Hundred Dollars (\$20,500) and forty thousand and five hundred dollars (\$40,500.00) will be held in abeyance until January 1, 2023, and be terminated absent a default as described below. Additionally, as part of the Stipulation, AmerTac agreed to pay Twenty Thousand Dollars (\$20,000) to fund a Supplemental Environmental Project supporting Environmental Education.

## FACTUAL FINDINGS

VIOLATION I: AMERTAC FAILED TO SUBMIT THE REQUIRED COMPLIANCE CERTIFICATION ON TIME FOR THE RPPC PROGRAM FOR CALENDAR YEAR 2014 IN VIOLATION OF PRC §§ 42300-42345 AND 14 CCR § 17945.2.

- 1. During the relevant Compliance Certification measurement period, from calendar year January 1, 2014, through December 31, 2014, AmerTac was a product manufacturer who was selling products packaged within RPPCs in California.
- 2. Pursuant to 14 CCR § 17945.2, CalRecycle notified Amer Tac on or about March 8, 2013, in a precertification letter, that it may be selected to certify compliance, and should use the pre-

- certification period to review compliance and resolve any compliance issues. Through this notice, AmerTac was also informed that CalRecycle was available to provide any advice and answer any questions they may have had about the RPPC law and its requirements. This letter was sent Certified Mail with a return receipt which was signed upon delivery as received on March 11, 2013.
- 3. Pursuant to 14 CCR § 17945.2, CalRecycle notified AmerTac on or about March 28, 2014, that it had been selected to certify compliance; must submit required data and documentation necessary to demonstrate compliance with the RPPC law for the 2014 calendar year; and must submit their Compliance Certification by April 1, 2015. This letter was sent Certified Mail with a return receipt which was signed upon delivery as received on March 31, 2014. Both the compliance certification notification and the pre-certification notification were sent to the registered corporate office, which is the main business address of AmerTac.
- CalRecycle attempted to contact AmerTac on several occasions, prior to the submission due date, to offer technical assistance, however AmerTac was not responsive. Verbal contact with AmerTac was eventually made by March 10, 2015.
- CalRecycle sent information via email, on March 11, 2015, that the Compliance Certification would be due by April 1, 2015.
- 6. Having been properly notified of the requirement to certify, AmerTac failed to submit the required Compliance Certification, for the 2014 measurement period, by April 1, 2015, in violation of 14 CCR § 17945.2(c).
- 7. CalRecycle mailed notification of Violation and Penalty for Non-submittal of Compliance Certification, on April 22, 2015, notifying AmerTac of the requirement to submit the Compliance Certification. This letter was sent Certified Mail with a return receipt which was signed and dated upon delivery, and tracked by United States Postal Service as delivered on April 27, 2015.
  - a. CalRecycle also sent an email to AmerTac on April 23, 2015, notifying AmerTac that the Compliance Certification had not been received; the submission was late and AmerTac was subject to penalty.

## VIOLATION II: AMERTAC SUBMITTED A COMPLIANCE CERTIFICATION THAT WAS INCOMPLETE AND INACCURATE IN VIOLATION OF 14 CCR §§ 17945.2, 17945.3, AND 17945.4

- 8. The allegations set forth in paragraphs 1 through 7 are incorporated by reference as if fully set forth here.
- 9. AmerTac submitted its 2014 Compliance Certification via email on April 29, 2015.
- 10. CalRecycle conducted an analysis to determine the completeness of the April 29, 2015
  Compliance Certification submission. CalRecycle determined the Compliance Certification was grossly incomplete and inaccurate. Specifically, the submission did not include:
  - a. Current 2014 container data for the RPPCs reported
  - b. Container Manufacturer Certifications
  - c. A Compliance Option and Compliance Option calculation
  - d. Data to support compliance
  - e. Statement of Compliance
  - f. Signature under penalty of perjury
- 11. Once the determination was made that the 2014 Compliance Certification submitted was incomplete, on or about August 12, 2015, CalRecycle sent a Notice of Incomplete Compliance Certification Submission outlining what was missing per the law. This letter was sent Certified Mail with a return receipt which was signed and dated upon delivery, on August 24, 2015. AmerTac was notified that they failed to identify the compliance option(s) chosen for their products, nor provided evidence supporting the chosen option(s) and requested that AmerTac provide the required information.
- 12. Following an additional exchange of phone calls and emails, through which CalRecycle further explained what information was needed to comply with the requirements, AmerTac requested additional time to demonstrate compliance and cited layoffs and internal organizational changes as grounds for requesting an extension to provide the required Compliance Certification information. CalRecycle approved a 30-day extension, to October 26, 2015, allowing AmerTac to collect the required information to make the Compliance Certification for the 2014 measurement period complete.

- 13. AmerTac resubmitted its 2014 Compliance Certification, with additional documentation, over a period of or about October 22, 2015 through October 29, 2015.
- 14. On October 26, 2015, CalRecycle notified Amer'Tac, via email, that not all of the required Compliance Certification information was received; that the submission was still incomplete.
- 15. Following receipt of the Compliance Certification information, CalRecycle conducted an analysis to determine completeness. CalRecycle determined that items were still missing, and the report was inaccurate. Specifically, the submission still did not include:
  - a. Compliance Option and Compliance Option calculation
  - b. Data to support compliance
  - c. Statement of Compliance
  - d. Signature under penalty of perjury
  - e. Correlating product and container data
    - i. CalRecycle was unable to verify that all products offered for sale were properly reported; product and container data reported by the product manufacturer did not match the data reported by the container manufacturers and vice versa.
      - In total, data for 160 different containers were provided by AmerTac. Only 110 stock keeping unit ("SKU") identifiers were reported by both the Product Manufacturer and Container Manufacturers.
      - 2. Product Manufacturer data were provided for 137 containers.
      - 3. Container Manufacturer data were provided for 143 containers.
      - 4. At best, the AmerTac data was 80% complete (assuming that the extra 33 SKUs reported with container data did not correspond to regulated RPPCs) because they did not report container data corresponding to 27 SKUs. However, it is possible that the data provided is only 69% complete, assuming all 160 SKUs reported correspond to AmerTac RPPCs.

VIOLATION III: AMERTAC FAILED TO DEMONSTRATE THAT THEIR RPPCS MET ANY OF THE COMPLIANCE OPTIONS UNDER THE RPPC PROGRAM FOR CALENDAR YEAR 2014 IN VIOLATION OF PRC §§ 42300-42345 AND 14 CCR §§ 17943-17949.

- 16. The altegations set forth in paragraphs 1 through 15 are incorporated by reference as if fully set forth here.
- 17. AmerTac was obligated to demonstrate that all RPPCs holding their products meet a compliance option, per 14 CCR § 17945.3(d), for the 2014 measurement period, and reference the compliance formula, as applicable, per 14 CCR § 17945.5, in their 2014 Compliance Certification submission.
- 18. CalRecycle reviewed and analyzed AmerTac's self-certified 2014 Compliance Certification, submitted as of October 2015, and the additional supporting documentation received. Using the final data provided by AmerTac, CalRecycle conducted an analysis and compiled data sets, provided by both AmerTac and its container manufacturers, to determine if AmerTac complied with the law. Although a specific compliance option was not claimed by AmerTac, CalRecycle's analysis evaluated all compliance options that may have been relevant based on all submitted data. It was determined that AmerTac failed to meet any of the container requirements in the law.
  - a. The 2014 Compliance Certification submitted by AmerTac failed to claim a compliance option and did not report any container compliance data (in violation of 14 CCR § 17945.3(d)), did not use any compliance formula (in violation of 14 CCR § 17945.5), or provide any supporting documentation regarding any effort to comply.

## LEGAL FINDINGS

- 32. RESPONDENT negligently failed to submit the required compliance certification for the RPPC program, for calendar year 2014, by the proscribed deadline, in violation of PRC § 42300-42345 and 14 CCR § 17943-17949.
- 33. RESPONDENT negligently submitted a compliance certification that was incomplete and inaccurate in violation of 14 CCR § 17945.2 and 17949.3.
- 34. RESPONDENT negligently failed to claim a compliance option and did not comply with any container requirements for calendar year 2014, in violation of PRC § 42300-42345 and 14 CCR § 17943-17949.

## **ORDER**

Pursuant to Public Resources Code section 42322 and Government Code section 11520, consistent with the terms of the above referenced Stipulation, THE FOLLOWING ORDER is hereby made:

- 35. RESPONDENT stipulates to an administrative penalty against the RESPONDENT and in favor of CALRECYCLE in the sum of sixty-one thousand dollars (\$61,000.00) for the violations set forth in the Stipulation and shall pay twenty thousand dollars (\$20,000) to fund a Supplemental Environmental Project for Environmental Education. Payments shall be satisfied in the following manner:
  - (a) RESPONDENT shall pay a penalty of twenty thousand five hundred dollars (\$20,500) to CALRECYCLE by no later than November 1, 2018.
  - (\$20,000), to CALRECYCLE, to be deposited into the Environmental Education Account established pursuant to PRC § 71305 to support CALRECYCLE's Office of Environmental Education efforts to disseminate the model environmental curriculum developed pursuant to PRC § 71303 through 71034.
  - (c) All payments shall be made and mailed to Department of Resources Recycling and Recovery (CALRECYCLE), Attention: Chona Nicolas, Fiscal Services Branch, at 1001 I Street, PO Box 4025, MS 19A, Sacramento, CA 95812-4025.
    - i. If any payment by the RESPONDENT is not mailed to CALRECYCLE by the due date, and if the RESPONDENT fails to cure the missed payment(s) within twenty (20) days of said missed payment RESPONDENT shall be found in full default.
  - (d) The penalty of forty thousand and five hundred dollars (\$40,500.00) of the overall sixty-one thousand dollars (\$61,000.00) shall be stayed and held in abeyance until January 1, 2023. The stayed penalty shall be deemed terminated and shall not be paid by the RESPONDENT to CALRECYCLE absent a default as described.
- 36. RESPONDENT shall provide a full list of all products sold in California in 2020, by April 1, 2021, and certify under penalty of perjury that at least 50% of those products are either;
  - i. Sold in non-RPPC packaging, or

- ii. Sold in RPPCs that are compliant with the RPPC law, and indicate a compliance option which each RPPC has met, as described under 14 CCR § 17944 or 17944.1 and 17945.3.
- 37. RESPONDENT shall comply with Pre-certification Requirement in calendar year 2020, as set forth in 14 CCR 17945.1.
- 38. RESPONDENT shall participate in the Rigid Plastic Packaging Container Compliance Certification Measurement period (calendar year) 2021, reported not later than April 1, 2022, as set forth in PRC § 42300 et seq. and 14 CCR § 17945.2 et seq, and demonstrate that AmerTac is, in fact, in full compliance with the RPPC law, to the satisfaction of CALRECYCLE.
- 39. RESPONDENT will designate an American Tack & Hardware Co., Inc. employee, (Name of person, title, phone number, mailing address), not later than November 1, 2018, who shall be the single point of contact, with CALRECYCLE through January 1, 2023. The point of contact shall be responsible for all commutations with CALRECYCLE in regards to implementing the RPPC law, including but not limited to technical assistance, pre-certification notifications and responses, compliance certification, and discussion and questions regarding what qualifies as an RPPC. The point of contact shall respond to CALRECYCLE's requests for information in compliance with the RPPC law and within 15 business days for requests for information or clarification by CALRECYCLE. If the above point of contact or their information changes, the RESPONDENT shall notify CALRECYCLE within 15 days of the change.
  - (a) If after CALRECYCLE has notified the RESPONDENT of failure to maintain accurate single point of contact information with the department and the RESPONDENT fails to cure the missing information within twenty (20) days, the RESPONDENT shall be found in full default.
- 40. RESPONDENT shall comply with PRC § 42300 et seq. and 14 CCR § 17945.2 et seq. for RPPC Compliance Certification Measurement period 2021 (calendar year) and beyond.
- 41. Default: If RESPONDENT defaults under any of the terms of the Stipulation, CALRECYCLE shall send a Notice of Default to the RESPONDENT; said Notice of Default shall state the paragraphs or provisions of the Stipulation of which the RESPONDENT is in default and the

abeyance amount owed. RESPONDENT shall have 30 days from the date of the issuance of the Notice of Default to provide evidence refuting CALRECYCLE's claim of default. After a review of the evidence provided by the RESPONDENT, CALRECYCLE shall make a determination and, if appropriate shall issue a Supplemental Decision regarding any remaining penalty due.

42. If RESPONDENT fails to demonstrate compliance in the RPPC Compliance Certification

Measurement period 2021 (calendar year), or CALRECYCLE finds violations of PRC § 42300 et seq. and 14 CCR 17945.2 et seq. that occurred in calendar year 2021 or anytime after,

CALRECYCLE may elect to find the RESPONDENT in default of the terms of the Stipulation and pursue enforcement action seeking additional penalties for violations with the RPPC law, following the process described in statute and regulations, and is not limited in any manner by the Stipulation.

This Administrative Decision shall become effective as of the date signed below.

Dated: 8/1/2018

MARK de BIE

Deputy Director, WPCMD

Department of Resources Recycling and

Recovery (CalRecycle)

| • |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
|   |  |   |  |
|   |  |   |  |
|   |  |   |  |
|   |  |   |  |
|   |  |   |  |
|   |  |   |  |
|   |  |   |  |
|   |  |   |  |
|   |  |   |  |
|   |  |   |  |
|   |  |   |  |
|   |  |   |  |
|   |  |   |  |
|   |  |   |  |
|   |  |   |  |
|   |  |   |  |
|   |  |   |  |
|   |  |   |  |
|   |  |   |  |
|   |  |   |  |
|   |  |   |  |
|   |  |   |  |
|   |  |   |  |
|   |  |   |  |
|   |  |   |  |
|   |  |   |  |
| • |  |   |  |
|   |  |   |  |
|   |  | • |  |
|   |  |   |  |
|   |  |   |  |
|   |  |   |  |
|   |  |   |  |
|   |  |   |  |
|   |  |   |  |
|   |  |   |  |
|   |  |   |  |
|   |  |   |  |
|   |  |   |  |
|   |  |   |  |
|   |  |   |  |
|   |  |   |  |
|   |  |   |  |
|   |  |   |  |
|   |  |   |  |
|   |  | • |  |
|   |  |   |  |
|   |  |   |  |
|   |  |   |  |
|   |  |   |  |
|   |  |   |  |
|   |  |   |  |
|   |  |   |  |
|   |  |   |  |
|   |  |   |  |
|   |  |   |  |
|   |  |   |  |
|   |  |   |  |
|   |  |   |  |
|   |  |   |  |
|   |  |   |  |
|   |  |   |  |
|   |  |   |  |
|   |  |   |  |
|   |  |   |  |
|   |  |   |  |
|   |  |   |  |
|   |  |   |  |
|   |  |   |  |
|   |  |   |  |
|   |  |   |  |
|   |  |   |  |
|   |  |   |  |
|   |  |   |  |
|   |  |   |  |
|   |  |   |  |
|   |  |   |  |
|   |  |   |  |
|   |  |   |  |
|   |  |   |  |
|   |  |   |  |
|   |  |   |  |
|   |  |   |  |
|   |  |   |  |
|   |  |   |  |
|   |  |   |  |
|   |  |   |  |
|   |  |   |  |
|   |  |   |  |
|   |  |   |  |
|   |  |   |  |
|   |  |   |  |
|   |  |   |  |
|   |  |   |  |
|   |  |   |  |
|   |  |   |  |
|   |  |   |  |
|   |  |   |  |
|   |  |   |  |
|   |  |   |  |