

Final Closure & Postclosure Maintenance Plan:

Qualitative Review Checklist

CalRecycle 177 (Revised 11/16)

Reviewer:

Site:

Objective of Qualitative Review:

To determine if the work elements specified in the Final Closure and Postclosure Maintenance Plans meet California Code of Regulations Title 27, Division 2, Chapter 3, Subchapter 5, Article 2 content requirements and determine if plans are of adequate detail to produce a detailed cost estimate for disbursement of financial assurance funds.

QUALITATIVE REVIEW CHECKLIST

Final Closure Plan Contents §21800

Check to ensure adequacy.

Dated and signed professional certification: Civil Engineer registered with the State of California 		
Certified Engineering Geologist registered with the State of California		
Table of contents		
Site description		
Site acreage		
Total area of landfill property:		
Area of the limit of waste:		
An estimate of the maximum extent of the landfill that will ever require closure at any given time during the life		
of the landfill [§21790(b)(6)]:		
Closure date pursuant to §21790(b)(7):		
Based on volumetric calculations, including supporting documentation		
Accounts for the effects of settlement and for volume occupied by daily cover		
Description of waste types:		
Surrounding land uses:		
Maps		
Maps pursuant to §21790(b)(2), indicating:		
Property boundaries		
Existing limits of waste placement		
Permitted limits of waste placement		

Proposed final limits of waste placement		
Entry roads		
Structures outside the property boundary but within 1000 feet of the property boundary		
General location of the landfill		
 Location map of the current monitoring and control systems [Pursuant to §21790(b)(4)] including: Leachate, drainage, and/or erosion control systems as required 		
Landfill gas monitoring and control systems as required		
Topographic map, drawn at appropriate scale and contour interval, and drawn to an appropriate detail, [pursuant to §21769(c)(2)(D)] showing:		
The boundaries of the Unit(s) to be closed and of the facility		
The projected final contours of the Unit(s) and surrounding area(s)		
Any changes in surface drainage patterns, as compared to the preexisting natural drainage patterns		
The final limits of waste placement		
Map showing site security and structure removal to illustrate requirements of §21790(b)(8)(A)		
Drawings		
Site aerial photographic survey (or topographic map), showing corresponding survey monuments.		
Design cross-sections		
Details		
Final Cover		
Final cover design description		
Prescriptive cover		
Foundation layer – at least 2 ft thick		
Low-hydraulic-conductivity layer – at least 1 ft thick with hydraulic conductivity less than or equal to		
1 x 10^-6 cm/sec		
Erosion-resistant layer – at least 1 ft thick		
Via a vegetative cover		
Via a mechanically erosion-resistant layer		
Engineered alternative cover		
Alternative Cover components:		
Calculate the volume or amount needed of each type of material		
On-site materials		
Tests to confirm the suitability of the material		
Estimate of materials available on-site		
Off-site materials		
Tests to confirm the suitability of the material		
Estimate of materials available off-site		
Cover functions with minimum maintenance and provides waste containment to protect public health and safety by		
controlling at a minimum, vectors, fire, odor, litter, and landfill gas migration		
Slope Stability and Seismic Analyses		
Slope stability discussion under both static and dynamic conditions		

	Slope stability report		
Seismic analysis report			
	Class II MSW Landfills – withstand the maximum credible earthquake		
Class III MSW Landfills – withstand at least the maximum probable earthquake			
	Final Grading		
	Final cover slopes no steeper than 1-3/4:1 (Horizontal: Vertical) unless approved by RWQCB		
	Have a minimum of one fifteen-foot wide bench for every fifty feet of vertical height unless approved by RWQCB		
	All portions of the landfill cover shall have a slope of at least 3% unless approved by RWQCB		
	Discussion of how the grading is designed to prevent ponding and to prevent soil erosion due to high run-off		
	Velocities		
	Designed to reduce the impacts to health and safety and take into consideration any postclosure land use		
	Check all faces of the fill and decks for:		
	Potential slip surfaces – review geology and soil data		
	Grade of slopes – review final grading plan		
	If slopes are steeper than 3:1, review slope stability report		
	In slope stability report:		
	Check to see if proper sections are analyzed (slopes > 3:1)		
	Check investigation boring locations, logging data, soil testing results		
	Review input parameters to stability analysis software		
	Review output surfaces in order to determine if the surface makes sense given the section profile		
	Check factors of safety for appropriate slopes (F.S. > 1.5 for pseudostatic)		
	Drainage Plan		
	Hydrology and hydraulic calculations		
	Class II MSW Landfills – design storm is the 1000-yr, 24-hr precipitation event		
	(use for sizing storage capacity)		
	Class III MWS Landfills – design storm is the 100-yr, 24-hr precipitation event		
	(used for sizing storage capacity)		
	Local hydrology data for 100-yr, 1-hr precipitation event (used for sizing conveyances)		
	Check design location of run off storage basins		
	Make sure flow is directed away from the trash		
	If basins are near trash, an impermeable barrier needs to be in the design.		
	Project-specific Construction Quality Assurance (CQA) Plan should include:		
	A delineation of the CQA management organization, including a chain of command		
	A detailed description of the level of experience and training of the contractor, work crew, and CQA inspectors.		
	Description of the CQA testing protocols including:		
	Sampling location maps		
	Frequency of inspections by operator, CQA officer, or design professional		
	Frequency of performance audits		
	Sampling and field testing procedures and equipment to be utilized		
	Size, method, location, and frequency of sampling		
	Pass/fail criteria for sampling and testing methods		
	Description of corrective procedures in the event of a test failure		
	CQA manufacturer or third party data on all geosynthetics utilized		

	CQA do	ocumentation in the report should include:		
	🗌 Da	ily summary reports (daily record keeping)		
		ceptance reports (verify that all materials and construction procedures meet the specifications)		
	Final documentation (all reports providing evidence that CQA plan was implemented)			
	Check t	ypes, frequencies, and cost rate of tests to be performed		
	🗌 For	consistency, at least two placement tests should be performed on the barrier layer		
	Fre	equency range:		
		Barrier layer: 1 test per 200 yd ³ – 1 test per 1000yd ³		
		Subgrade: 1 test per acre – 1 test per 5 acres		
	Review	soil laboratory tests required for specified cover materials for adequacy and completeness of test selection.		
	🗌 For	r all cover material the following tests should be performed:		
		Particle size analysis (ASTM D 422-93)		
		Compaction characterization (ASTM D 1557-91)		
		Classification of Soils (ASTM D 2487-93)		
	For	r low-hydraulic-conductivity layer the following tests should be performed:		
		Particle size analysis (ASTM D 422-93)		
		Compaction characterization (ASTM D 1557-91)		
		Classification of Soils (ASTM D 2487-93)		
		Liquid limit, plastic limit, plasticity index (ASTM D 4318-93)		
		Triaxial-cell method with back pressure (a.k.a. falling or constant head permeability tests) (USEPA Test Method 9100)		
		From these tests, a moisture-density curve for the low-hydraulic-conductivity layer should be developed		
	Review	required earth material/geosynthetic placement tests for adequacy and completeness		
		earth materials:		
		Laboratory soil characterization tests as above (particle size analysis, compaction characterization, classification of soils, liquid limit, plastic limit, plasticity index, triaxial-cell method with back pressure)		
		Description and Identification of Soils (ASTM 2488-93)		
		Test fill pad - Double Ring Infiltrometer (vertical hydraulic conductivity test – ASTM 3385-94)		
		Purpose: Determine if the specified density/moisture/hydraulic conductivity relationships determined in the laboratory can be achieved in the field with the compaction equipment to be used and at the specified lift thickness.		
		Four field density tests performed for each 1000 cubic yards of material placed or a minimum of 4 tests per		
		day.		
		Nuclear density gauge		
		Cone test		
		Compaction curve data (ASTM D 1557-91) represented graphically once a week or every 5000 cubic yards of material placed		
		Atterburg limits (ASTM D 4318-93) represented graphically once a week or every 5000 cubic yards of		
		material placed		
		Hydraulic conductivity tests must be performed on the barrier layer		
		Flexible Membrane Liner (FML):		
		Preconstruction quality control program		
		Tensile strength		
		Layer thickness strength		

	Peel test for the seaming of the material	
	Inspection of placement	
	Inspections of installation of anchors and seals	
	Water and Wind Erosion Analyses Report	
	Hydrological information	
	Annual soil loss calculations using the United States Department of Agriculture's (USDA) Universal Soil Loss Equation (USLE) or equivalent. The USLE estimates average annual soil loss from sheet and rill erosion. The equation is: A=RKLSCP, where A is the computed soil loss per unit area, R is a rainfall factor, K is a soil erodibility factor, L is a slope length factor, S is a slope degree factor, C is a crop practice factor, and P is a conservation practice factor.	
C	Annual soil loss calculations using the USDA Wind Erosion Equation (WEQ) or equivalent. The WEQ is designed to predict long-term average annual soil losses from a field having specific characteristics. The equation is E=f(I,K,C,L,V), where E is the estimated average annual soil loss, I is the soil erodibility, K is the ridge roughness factor, C is the climatic factor, L is the equivalent unsheltered distance across the field along the prevailing wind erosion direction, and V is the equivalent vegetative cover	
Gas moni	toring and control Exempt	
🗌 Gas m	nonitoring system description	
🗌 R	eview Air SWAT report to determine if gas generation/migration is a problem	
	On-site structure should have less than 1.25% methane by volume	
The site perimeter should have less than 5% methane by volume		
Check N, H ₂ S, O ₂ , CO ₂ , and CO levels		
	Check for non-methane organic compounds (NMOC)	
	Check integrated surface sample (ISS) data	
🗌 C	heck site geology	
	iravel and sand promote gas migration and provide preferential flow paths Silt and clay may confine landfill gas o a specific location in the subsurface	
🗌 R	eview land development within 1000 feet of the fill area	
	Check zoning maps	
	Check land use	
C	heck for the following structures:	
	Concrete slab-on-grade	
	Raised foundation	
	Piling foundation	
	Basement/cellar	
	Water wells	
	Underground vaults/tanks	
	Utility lines/trenches	
	Parking lots	
	Road	
Ν	lote: the presence of any of these features could be potential receptors for landfill gas	
🗌 R	eview site map showing gas monitoring probe placement	
🗌 D	etermine if placement and number of probes is adequate for gas detection	
	Placed in locations that will detect all off-site migration	
Ľ	Common lateral spacing is 100 - 500 feet although Title 27 specifies spacing less than 1000 feet	

	Probes should be installed around the perimeter of the fill at the property boundary in native soil (ideally there should be a buffer zone between the refuse fill boundary and the property boundary of 100 ft or greater, especially where native soils are permeable, e.g. sand and gravel)
	Review monitoring probe construction detail for adequacy
	A Licensed Engineer or Registered Geologist stamp
	Well logs should be taken
	Well description and location map should be recorded
	Gas control system description
	Review gas control system plans and specifications
	Compute cost of gas control system Consider:
	Extraction wells
	Conveyance system
	Flare and blower station
	Condensate management system
	Leachate monitoring and collection system description
	Determine if the site has liner and leachate collection system
	Note: if site does not have a liner, site has limited leachate collection ability
	Review history of site leachate flows, quality of leachate produced, and time frames for dispersion
	Review costs for operating and maintaining leachate collection system (including off-site disposal costs)
Closure procedures and tentative schedule	
	Estimated closure commencement date based on volumetric calculations:
	Estimate accounts for the effects of settlement
	Estimate accounts for the volume occupied by daily cover material
	On site structures removal procedures description
	Sign installation indicating closure
	Detailed description of the sequence of closure stages (including incremental closure, where appropriate), giving tentative implementation dates pursuant to §21800(c)
	Financial assurance and closure funding section
	Demonstration of financial responsibility to CalRecycle for closure in at least the amount of the current closure cost estimate (determined by CalRecycle Financial Assurances Section)
	Detailed schedule for disbursement of funds for closure activities from a trust fund, or enterprise fund if applicable, pursuant to §21800(d)
	Final closure cost estimate
	Cost estimate in current year dollars
	Cost estimates need to meet the following itemized criteria at a minimum:
	Developed for the activities anticipated for scheduled closure;
	Closure design;
	Closure materials;
	Transportation and hauling;
	Equipment;
	Labor;

	 Administration; Quality assurance; Install/upgrade site security; Structural removal; Install/upgrade landfill gas monitoring and/or control systems; 20% contingency Construction health and safety plan Emergency notification list
	CEQA Compliance (CEQA standards)
	Reference materials
FIN	IAL POSTCLOSURE MAINTENANCE PLAN CONTENTS §21830
	Description of the planned uses of the property during the postclosure maintenance period
\square	As-builts
	Current as-built (pre-construction) drawing
	As-built description of the current monitoring and control systems at the landfill including a detailed description of any proposed changes to be implemented as part of closure
	Emergency Response Plan
	List of the persons or companies responsible for each aspect of the postclosure maintenance, and their addresses and telephone numbers
	Revegetation Plan
	Plant species list
	Vegetation maintenance procedure description
	Irrigation plan (if applicable)
	Final Cover Maintenance Plan
	Inspection procedures
	Inspection frequency
	Notification procedures
	Repair procedures
	Final cover repair procedures
	Drainage collection system maintenance and repair procedures
	Settlement monitoring plan
	Surveying monument locations
	Surveying frequency
	Landfill Gas Monitoring Plan N/A
	Parameter list
	Monitoring frequency
	Monitoring equipment and procedures description (operations and maintenance plan)

Groundwater Monitoring Plan	□ N/A		
Parameter list			
Monitoring procedures description			
Monitoring frequency			
Leachate Monitoring Plan	□ N/A		
Parameter List			
Monitoring procedures description			
Monitoring Frequency			
Postclosure maintenance funding section			
Final postclosure maintenance cost estimate			
 Cost estimate in current year dollars Calculated as an annual cost of postclosure maintenance 			
		Total postclosure cost is the annual cost est	imate multiplied by thirty (30) years
Cost estimates need to meet the following itemized criteria at a minimum:			
Site security pursuant to §21135;			
 Maintenance and integrity of the final cover including material acquisition, labor, and placement for r of the final cover as required due to the effects of settlement, slope failure, or erosion; Maintenance of vegetation (erosion resistance) including fertilization, irrigation and irrigation system maintenance; Monitoring, operation, and maintenance of landfill gas monitoring and control systems; 			
		Monitoring, operation, and maintenand	e of leachate monitoring and control systems;
		Maintenance of drainage and erosion c conveyances and repairing drains, level	ontrol systems including clearing materials, blocking drainage es, dikes, and protective berms.