SITE AS CalRecycle 881 ((Attach addition	SSESSMENT FO (Rev. 1/17) nal description or explanation as ne	RM eeded or include in comment Sect	ion VIII.)		
Site name:			SWIS No.		
I. Disposal Sit	e Characteristics				
A. Waste	Area(s) Dimensions				
1. Area ar	nd volume:	acres	cubic yards		
2. Estimat	te maximum depth of waste:	feet			
3. Estimat	te average depth of waste:	feet			
B. Soil Type	e (check appropriate soil types)				
1. Clay, si	lt, loam (low permeability):	Native	Cover		
2. Sand, p	ebble (medium permeability):	Native	Cover		
3. Gravel,	cobble, rocks (high permeability):	Native	Cover		
C. Mean an	nual precipitation:	inches			
D. Estimate	d separation between waste and g	round water: feet			
E. Is waste	area within a 100-year flood plain	? Yes No			
F. Show the	e following items on a site map(s):				
 Proper Waste Structu Topogr Access Site sec Surface Monito Areas v Areas v 	ty boundaries disposal area(s) boundary ires on or within 1000 ft. of waste aphical Contours points and roads curity systems e water bodies and drainage pattern oring and control systems where landfill gas migration was detect where leachate migration was detect	s ected ted			

Cal Recycle

G. Provide a chronological list of enforcement actions for the site:

State of California • Department of Resources Recycling and Recovery (CalRecycle)

H. Provide reference list of technical documents for the site (Give date and report, e.g. SWAT, Design, Control System, and Monitoring Plans):

II. Landfill Gas Migration

Α.	Status
/	Status

	1.	Has an Air Quality Solid Waste Assessment Test (Air SWAT) been completed for the site? If yes , describe results:	🗌 Yes 🗌 No
	2.	Have surface or structure landfill gas monitoring surveys been conducted for the site If yes , describe results:	Yes No
	3.	Does the site have a landfill gas monitoring system? If yes , describe:	Yes No
	4.	Does the site have a landfill gas control system? If yes , describe:	Yes No
В.	М	igration	
	1.	Do surface methane emissions exceed 500 ppm?	s 🗌 No 🗌 Unknown
		If unknown , conduct a field survey for presence of landfill gas.	
		If no, based on field observations or measurements, age, and moisture content of the waste a are surface emissions > 500 ppm likely to occur?	Ind the existing cover
	2.	Has >1.25% methane by volume accumulated in on-site structures?	s 🗌 No 🗌 Unknown
		If unknown , conduct a field structure survey for presence of landfill gas.	
		If no , based on field measurements, the age and nature of the waste, land use, and cover conditions, is landfill accumulation likely to occur in structures on or around the site?	
		Reasons:	
	3.	Do the methane concentrations exceed 5% at the site boundary?	s 🗌 No 🗌 Unknown
		If unknown , conduct perimeter field survey for landfill gas migration.	
		If no , based on a perimeter field survey, age and moisture content of the waste, and the exist migration likely to occur beyond the boundaries of the site? Reasons:	ing cover, is landfill gas

III. Leachate Seeps

A. St	tatus	
1.	Does the site have a leachate control system?	🗌 Yes 🗌 No
	If yes , briefly describe the leachate control system:	
2.	Does the landfill have an engineered lining system?	🗌 Yes 🗌 No
	If yes , briefly describe the liner system:	
3.	Does the site have a final cover?	Yes 🗌 No
	If yes , briefly describe the final cover and any agency approvals:	
B. N	ligration	
1.	Is there any evidence of leachate seeps?	Yes No
	If yes , briefly describe and indicate if offsite:	
IV. Buri	n Ash	
A. S	Status	
1.	Is there burn ash at this site?	Yes No
	If yes , briefly describe:	
2.	Is there any exposed burn ash?	🗌 Yes 🗌 No
	If yes , briefly describe:	
B. I	Migration	
1.	Is there any evidence of burn ash off site?	Yes No
	If yes , briefly describe:	

V. Surface Conditions

А.	Is site access adequately restricted?	🗌 Yes 🗌 No
В.	Is the waste adequately covered to prevent human contact?	🗌 Yes 🗌 No
С.	Is the final drainage system for the site adequate to prevent erosion?	Yes 🗌 No
D.	Is the final grading adequate to promote run off?	Yes No
Ε.	Are slopes greater than 3:1 (33% or 18 degrees)?	Yes No
F.	Are slopes greater than 1.75:1 (57% or 30 degrees)?	∐ Yes ∐ No
G.	Comments:	
VI. F	Postclosure Land Use	
А.	Has the land use of the site significantly changed since closure?	🗌 Yes 🗌 No
	<i>If yes, include or reference site improvement plans and answer the following:</i>	
	1. Give the date that the improvements were constructed:	
	2. Have the improvements compromised the integrity of the final cover?	No N/A
	3. Has differential settlement affected the improvements?	Yes No
В.	Is there a proposed change in postclosure land use that may jeopardize the integrity of previous	ly closed sites or
	pose a potential threat to public health and safety or the environment?	∏ Yes ∏ No
	If yes, briefly describe the proposed project:	
С.	Is there a postclosure land use tracking system?	🗌 Yes 🗌 No
	If yes, describe the tracking system:	
VII. I	Disposal Site Category (See Classification Chart)	
	Primary A: B: C: D: U:	X: 🗌
VIII.	Secondary 1: 2: 3:	