STATE OF CALIFORNIA — DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE

ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

(REGULATIONS AND ORDERS) STD. 399 (REV. 12/2013)

ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT

| | | ACT STATEMENT | |
|---|---------------------------------------|---|---------------------------|
| DEPARTMENT NAME | CONTACT PERSON | EMAIL ADDRESS | TELEPHONE NUMBER |
| Dept of Resources Recycling and Reco | Sharon Siozon | sharon.siozon@calrecycle.c | |
| descriptive title from notice register or form 400 Processing Payment Emergency Regula | tions | | NOTICE FILE NUMBER |
| | | | |
| A. ESTIMATED PRIVATE SECTOR COST IMP | ACTS Include calculations and | assumptions in the rulemaking record. | |
| 1. Check the appropriate box(es) below to indica | _ | | |
| a. Impacts business and/or employees | | orting requirements | |
| b. Impacts small businesses | | criptive instead of performance | |
| c. Impacts jobs or occupations d. Impacts California competitiveness | g. Impacts indi | viduais above (Explain below): | |
| | | | |
| | | nplete this Economic Impact Statement. scal Impact Statement as appropriate. | |
| 2. The(Agency/Department) | estimates that the ec | conomic impact of this regulation (which includ | es the fiscal impact) is: |
| (Agency/Department) | | | |
| Between \$10 and \$25 million | | | |
| Between \$25 and \$50 million | | | |
| | t is over \$50 million agencies are r | required to submit a <u>Standardized Regulatory Imp</u> | oact Assessment |
| | nent Code Section 11346.3(c)] | | |
| Enter the total number of businesses impacted Describe the types of businesses (Include non | | | |
| Enter the number or percentage of total businesses impacted that are small businesse | S: | | |
| 4. Enter the number of businesses that will be cr | eated: | eliminated: | |
| Explain: | | | |
| 5. Indicate the geographic extent of impacts: | Statewide | | |
| , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , | Local or regional (List areas): | | |
| | | | |
| 6. Enter the number of jobs created: | and eliminated: | | |
| Describe the types of jobs or occupations imp | pacted: | | |
| | | | |
| Will the regulation affect the ability of Californ other states by making it more costly to produce | ia businesses to compete with | YES NO | |
| If YES, explain briefly: | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| · . | | | |

STATE OF CALIFORNIA — DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE

ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

| (REGULATIONS | AND ORDERS) |
|-------------------------|-------------|
| STD. 399 (REV. 12/2013) | |

ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT (CONTINUED)

| B. ESTIMATED COSTS Include calculations and assume | ptions in the rulemaking record. | |
|--|--|---|
| 1. What are the total statewide dollar costs that businesse | es and individuals may incur to comply with this regul | ation over its lifetime? \$ |
| a. Initial costs for a small business: \$ | Annual ongoing costs: \$ | Years: |
| b. Initial costs for a typical business: \$ | Annual ongoing costs: \$ | Years: |
| c. Initial costs for an individual: \$ | Annual ongoing costs: \$ | Years: |
| d. Describe other economic costs that may occur: | | |
| 2. If multiple industries are impacted, enter the share of t | otal costs for each industry: | |
| If the regulation imposes reporting requirements, ente Include the dollar costs to do programming, record keepin | | |
| 4. Will this regulation directly impact housing costs? | YES NO | |
| IfY | ES, enter the annual dollar cost per housing unit: \$ | · |
| | Number of units: | |
| 5. Are there comparable Federal regulations? | YES NO | |
| Explain the need for State regulation given the existenc | e or absence of Federal regulations: | |
| Enter any additional costs to businesses and/or individu | als that may be due to State - Federal differences: \$ | |
| C. ESTIMATED BENEFITS Estimation of the dollar value | e of benefits is not specifically required by rulemaking | law, but encouraged. |
| 1. Briefly summarize the benefits of the regulation, which health and welfare of California residents, worker safet | | |
| | | |
| Are the benefits the result of: specific statutory rec Explain: | juirements, or 📃 goals developed by the agency b | ased on broad statutory authority? |
| 3. What are the total statewide benefits from this regulati | on over its lifetime? \$ | |
| 4. Briefly describe any expansion of businesses currently of | loing business within the State of California that wou | ld result from this regulation: |
| | ······································ | |
| D. ALTERNATIVES TO THE REGULATION Include calc specifically required by rulemaking law, but encourage | | Estimation of the dollar value of benefits is not |
| 1. List alternatives considered and describe them below. I | f no alternatives were considered, explain why not: _ | |
| | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |

STATE OF CALIFORNIA — DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

(REGULATIONS AND ORDERS)

STD. 399 (REV. 12/2013)

ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT (CONTINUED)

| 2. | Summarize the t | total statewide cos | ts and benefits from this reg | gulation and each alternative co | onsidered: | | | |
|----|------------------------------------|---|---|--|-------------------|------------------|---|------|
| | Regulation: | Benefit: \$ | Cost: \$ | × . | | | | |
| | Alternative 1: | | Cost: \$ | | | | | |
| | Alternative 2: | Benefit: \$ | Cost: \$ | | | | | |
| 3. | | | sues that are relevant to a co or this regulation or alterna | | | | | |
| 4. | regulation man actions or proce | dates the use of s edures. Were perf | pecific technologies or equ ormance standards conside | standards as an alternative, if a lipment, or prescribes specific ered to lower compliance costs | _{5?} YES | NO | | |
| Ε. | MAJOR REGUL | LATIONS Include | calculations and assumpti | ions in the rulemaking record. | | | | |
| , | | California En | vironmental Protection | Agency (Cal/EPA) boards, alth and Safety Code section | | - | - | |
| 1. | Will the estimate | ed costs of this reg | ulation to California busines | ss enterprises exceed \$10 milli | on? YES | NO | | |
| | | | | If YES, complete E2. and I If NO, skip to E4 | E3 | * ** ** ** ** ** | | |
| 2. | Briefly describe | each alternative, c | or combination of alternative | es, for which a cost-effectivenes | s analysis was p | performed: | | |
| | Alternative 1: | | | 6 | | | | |
| | Alternative 2: | | | | | | | |
| | | al pages for other a | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| 3. | | | | the estimated total cost and ov | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | Cost-effectiveness ratio: \$ | | | | |
| | Alternative 2: T | | | Cost-effectiveness ratio: \$ | | | | |
| 4. | exceeding \$50 i | million in any 12-n | | conomic impact to business ent late the major regulation is estir ed? | | | | |
| | YES | NO | | | | | | |
| | | | | <u>y Impact Assessment (SRIA)</u> as sp the Initial Statement of Reasons. | pecified in | | | |
| 5. | Briefly describe | the following: | | | | | | |
| | The increase or | decrease of invest | tment in the State: | | × | | | |
| | The incentive fo | or innovation in pr | oducts, materials or process | es: | | | | |
| | | | | benefits to the health, safety, ar ality of life, among any other be | | | | |
| | | | | | | × | | PAGE |
| | | | | | | | | |

STATE OF CALIFORNIA --- DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE

ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

| LCONOMIC AND LIGOAL | TIALL |
|-------------------------|-------|
| (REGULATIONS AND ORDE | RS) |
| STD. 399 (REV. 12/2013) | |

FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

| | CAL EFFECT ON LOCAL GC ent year and two subsequent | | appropriate box | es 1 through 6 | and attach calculatic | ons and assumption | s of fiscal impac | t for the |
|----------|---|--|---|------------------------------------|---|---------------------------|-------------------|-----------|
| <u> </u> | Additional expenditures in th Pursuant to Section 6 of Arti | ne current State Fiscal Ye cle XIII B of the California | ar which are rei Constitution a | mbursable by 1 nd Sections 17 | he State. (Approxima 500 et seq. of the Gov | te) ernment Code). | | |
| \$ | | | • | | | | | |
| |] a. Funding provided in | · | | | | | | |
| | Budget Act of | | | | , Statutes of | | | |
| |] b. Funding will be requeste | ed in the Governor's Bud | get Act of | | - | | | |
| | | | Fiscal Year: | | | | | |
| 2. | Additional expenditures in th Pursuant to Section 6 of Artio | ne current State Fiscal Ye cle XIII B of the California | ar which are NC Constitution a | OT reimbursable nd Sections 175 | e by the State. (Appro 500 et seq. of the Gove | ximate) ernment Code). | | |
| \$ | | | | | | | | |
| | eck reason(s) this regulation is | | ovide the approp | oriate informati | on: | | | |
| |] a. Implements the Federal | mandate contained in | | | | | | |
| |] b. Implements the court m | andate set forth by the | | | | C | ourt. | |
| | | Case of: | | | VS. | | | |
| | c. Implements a mandate o | | | | | | | |
| | Date of E | | | | - - | | | |
| | d. Issued only in response | | | | | | | |
| | Local entity(s) a | ffected: | | | | | | |
| | | | ₩. 117 - 107 (107 (107 (107 (107 (107 (107 (107 | (5.8.9 7) | a an | | | |
| | e. Will be fully financed fro | m the fees, revenue, etc. | from: | | · | | | |
| | Authorized by | Section: | | of the | | | Code; | |
| | f. Provides for savings to e | ach affected unit of local | government w | hich will, at a n | ninimum, offset any a | dditional costs to ea | ch; | С. р. р. |
| | g. Creates, eliminates, or ch | nanges the penalty for a | new crime or in | fraction contai | ned in | | | |
| ⊠ 3. / | Annual Savings. (approximate | 2) | | | | | | |
| \$ | 270,000 | | | | | | | |
| 4. [| No additional costs or savings. | This regulation makes or | nly technical, no | n-substantive o | r clarifying changes to | current law regulatic | ons. | |
| 5. 1 | No fiscal impact exists. This re | gulation does not affect a | iny local entit <u>y</u> o | r program. | | | | |
| 6. (| Other. Explain | | | | | | | |
| | · | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | | | | |

 $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{4}}$

۲

STATE OF CALIFORNIA — DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE

ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (REGULATIONS AND ORDERS)

STD. 399 (REV. 12/2013)

FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (CONTINUED)

| B. FISCAL EFFECT ON STATE GOVERNMENT Indicate appropriate boxes 1 through 4 and attach calculations and year and two subsequent Fiscal Years. | assumptions of fiscal impact for the current |
|--|--|
| 1. Additional expenditures in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate) | |
| \$ | |
| It is anticipated that State agencies will: | |
| a. Absorb these additional costs within their existing budgets and resources. | |
| b. Increase the currently authorized budget level for the Fiscal Year | |
| 2. Savings in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate) | |
| \$ | |
| 3. No fiscal impact exists. This regulation does not affect any State agency or program. | |
| X 4. Other. Explain See Attachment 1 | |
| | |
| C. FISCAL EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDING OF STATE PROGRAMS Indicate appropriate boxes 1 through 4 and a impact for the current year and two subsequent Fiscal Years. | ttach calculations and assumptions of fiscal |
| 1. Additional expenditures in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate) | |
| \$ | |
| 2. Savings in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate) | |
| \$ | |
| \times 3. No fiscal impact exists. This regulation does not affect any federally funded State agency or program. | |
| 4. Other. Explain | |
| | |
| | |
| FISCAL OFFICER SIGNATURE | DATE |
| a Clark | 11/13/19 |
| The signature attests that the agency has completed the STD. 399 according to the instructions in SAM se | |
| the impacts of the proposed rule naking. State boards, offices, or departments not under an Agency Secre highest ranking official in the organization. | etary must have the form signed by the |
| AGENCY SECRETARY | DATE |
| & Lung us | 11-14-19 |
| Finance approval and signature is required when SAM sections 6601-6616 require completion of Fiscal | Impact Statement in the STD 399 |
| DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE PROGRAM BUDGET MANAGER | DATE |
| A Company of the second | |
| | PAGE 5 |