

**ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT****(REGULATIONS AND ORDERS)**

STD. 399 (Rev. 10/2019)

**ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT**

DEPARTMENT NAME <b>CalRecycle</b>	CONTACT PERSON <b>Craig Castleton</b>	EMAIL ADDRESS <b>craig.castleton@calrecycle.ca.gov</b>	TELEPHONE NUMBER <b>916-322-1238</b>
DESCRIPTIVE TITLE FROM NOTICE REGISTER OR FORM 400 <b>Recycling and Disposal Reporting System (RDRS) Permanent Rulemaking</b>			NOTICE FILE NUMBER <b>Z</b>

**A. ESTIMATED PRIVATE SECTOR COST IMPACTS** *Include calculations and assumptions in the rulemaking record.*

1. Check the appropriate box(es) below to indicate whether this regulation:

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> a. Impacts business and/or employees | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> e. Imposes reporting requirements   |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> b. Impacts small businesses          | <input type="checkbox"/> f. Imposes prescriptive instead of performance |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> c. Impacts jobs or occupations       | <input type="checkbox"/> g. Impacts individuals                         |
| <input type="checkbox"/> d. Impacts California competitiveness           | <input type="checkbox"/> h. None of the above (Explain below):          |

*If any box in Items 1 a through g is checked, complete this Economic Impact Statement.**If box in Item 1.h. is checked, complete the Fiscal Impact Statement as appropriate.*2. The CalRecycle estimates that the economic impact of this regulation (which includes the fiscal impact) is:  
(Agency/Department)

- ☒ Below \$10 million
- ☐ Between \$10 and \$25 million
- ☐ Between \$25 and \$50 million
- ☐ Over \$50 million *[If the economic impact is over \$50 million, agencies are required to submit a [Standardized Regulatory Impact Assessment](#) as specified in Government Code Section 11346.3(c)]*

3. Enter the total number of businesses impacted: ~2300Describe the types of businesses (Include nonprofits): Businesses in the waste and recycling collection and processing industriesEnter the number or percentage of total businesses impacted that are small businesses: ~33%4. Enter the number of businesses that will be created: 0 eliminated: 0Explain: Regulations impose new reporting in RDRS, which is unlikely to generate new or eliminate existing businesses.5. Indicate the geographic extent of impacts: ☒ Statewide  
☐ Local or regional (List areas): \_\_\_\_\_6. Enter the number of jobs created: 70 and eliminated: 0Describe the types of jobs or occupations impacted: Facility gate attendants, bookkeepers, accountants, and auditing clerks at waste, recycling, composting, hauling, transportation, and disposal operations.7. Will the regulation affect the ability of California businesses to compete with other states by making it more costly to produce goods or services here? ☐ YES ☒ NOIf YES, explain briefly: \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

**ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT  
(REGULATIONS AND ORDERS)**

STD. 399 (Rev. 10/2019)

**ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT (CONTINUED)****B. ESTIMATED COSTS** *Include calculations and assumptions in the rulemaking record.*

1. What are the total statewide dollar costs that businesses and individuals may incur to comply with this regulation over its lifetime? \$ 84,686,870
- a. Initial costs for a small business: \$ 120 Annual ongoing costs: \$ 3,772 Years: 10
- b. Initial costs for a typical business: \$ 120 Annual ongoing costs: \$ 3,772 Years: 10
- c. Initial costs for an individual: \$ N/A Annual ongoing costs: \$ N/A Years: N/A
- d. Describe other economic costs that may occur: expand to hire additional staff as noted in "A. ESTIMATED PRIVATE SECTOR COST IMPACTS, Question 6."
2. If multiple industries are impacted, enter the share of total costs for each industry: Only recycling and waste material management industries
3. If the regulation imposes reporting requirements, enter the annual costs a typical business may incur to comply with these requirements. *Include the dollar costs to do programming, record keeping, reporting, and other paperwork, whether or not the paperwork must be submitted.* \$ 3,772
4. Will this regulation directly impact housing costs? ☒ YES ☒ NO  
If YES, enter the annual dollar cost per housing unit: \$ ~2300  
Number of units: Businesses in the waste an
5. Are there comparable Federal regulations? ☐ YES ☒ NO  
Explain the need for State regulation given the existence or absence of Federal regulations: These regulatory updates are needed to implement the public to evaluate product recyclability, jurisdictions to appropriately track disposal and progress towards waste  
Enter any additional costs to businesses and/or individuals that may be due to State - Federal differences: \$ ~33%

**C. ESTIMATED BENEFITS** *Estimation of the dollar value of benefits is not specifically required by rulemaking law, but encouraged.*

1. Briefly summarize the benefits of the regulation, which may include among others, the health and welfare of California residents, worker safety and the State's environment: Data collected under the updated regulations will help for each of the three groups of proposed changes. See Appendix A, Section D for a specific discussion of the alternative.
2. Are the benefits the result of: ☒ specific statutory requirements, or ☐ goals developed by the agency based on broad statutory authority?  
Explain: 0
3. What are the total statewide benefits from this regulation over its lifetime? \$ Unknown
4. Briefly describe any expansion of businesses currently doing business within the State of California that would result from this regulation: Businesses may reduction mandates, and CalRecycle to produce strategies to reduce waste and create a circular economy.

**D. ALTERNATIVES TO THE REGULATION** *Include calculations and assumptions in the rulemaking record. Estimation of the dollar value of benefits is not specifically required by rulemaking law, but encouraged.*

1. List alternatives considered and describe them below. If no alternatives were considered, explain why not: Alternative 1 is the least-cost alternative recycling, composting, hauling, transportation, and disposal operations.

**ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT  
(REGULATIONS AND ORDERS)**

STD. 399 (Rev. 10/2019)

**ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT (CONTINUED)**

2. Summarize the total statewide costs and benefits from this regulation and each alternative considered:

Regulation: Benefit: \$ aste material ma Cost: \$ 10Alternative 1: Benefit: \$ 10 Cost: \$ 3,772Alternative 2: Benefit: \$ N/A Cost: \$ 3,7723. Briefly discuss any quantification issues that are relevant to a comparison of estimated costs and benefits for this regulation or alternatives: N/A4. Rulemaking law requires agencies to consider performance standards as an alternative, if a regulation mandates the use of specific technologies or equipment, or prescribes specific actions or procedures. Were performance standards considered to lower compliance costs? ☐ YES ☒ NOExplain: ~2300**E. MAJOR REGULATIONS** *Include calculations and assumptions in the rulemaking record.****California Environmental Protection Agency (Cal/EPA) boards, offices and departments are required to submit the following (per Health and Safety Code section 57005). Otherwise, skip to E4.***1. Will the estimated costs of this regulation to California business enterprises **exceed \$10 million?** ☒ YES ☒ NO***If YES, complete E2. and E3******If NO, skip to E4***

2. Briefly describe each alternative, or combination of alternatives, for which a cost-effectiveness analysis was performed:

Alternative 1: Data collected under the updated regulations will helpAlternative 2: These regulatory updates are needed to implement*(Attach additional pages for other alternatives)*

3. For the regulation, and each alternative just described, enter the estimated total cost and overall cost-effectiveness ratio:

Regulation: Total Cost \$ Businesses may Cost-effectiveness ratio: \$ UnknownAlternative 1: Total Cost \$ 3,772 Cost-effectiveness ratio: \$ 84,686,870Alternative 2: Total Cost \$ tive 1 is the least-cost alte Cost-effectiveness ratio: \$ 120

4. Will the regulation subject to OAL review have an estimated economic impact to business enterprises and individuals located in or doing business in California exceeding \$50 million in any 12-month period between the date the major regulation is estimated to be filed with the Secretary of State through 12 months after the major regulation is estimated to be fully implemented?

☐ YES ☒ NO*If YES, agencies are required to submit a [Standardized Regulatory Impact Assessment \(SRIA\)](#) as specified in Government Code Section 11346.3(c) and to include the SRIA in the Initial Statement of Reasons.*

5. Briefly describe the following:

The increase or decrease of investment in the State: N/Arecycling, composting, hauling, transportation, and disposal operations.The incentive for innovation in products, materials or processes: 120The benefits of the regulations, including, but not limited to, benefits to the health, safety, and welfare of California residents, worker safety, and the state's environment and quality of life, among any other benefits identified by the agency: reduction mandates, and CalRecycle to produce strategies to reduce waste and create a circular economy.

**ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT  
(REGULATIONS AND ORDERS)**

STD. 399 (Rev. 10/2019)

**FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT****A. FISCAL EFFECT ON LOCAL GOVERNMENT** *Indicate appropriate boxes 1 through 6 and attach calculations and assumptions of fiscal impact for the current year and two subsequent Fiscal Years.*

- ☐ 1. Additional expenditures in the current State Fiscal Year which are reimbursable by the State. (Approximate)  
(Pursuant to Section 6 of Article XIII B of the California Constitution and Sections 17500 et seq. of the Government Code).

\$ ~33%

- ☒ a. Funding provided in 0  
Budget Act of ~2300 or Chapter \_\_\_\_\_, Statutes of 0

- ☒ b. Funding will be requested in the Governor's Budget Act of \_\_\_\_\_  
Fiscal Year: Businesses in the

- ☐ 2. Additional expenditures in the current State Fiscal Year which are NOT reimbursable by the State. (Approximate)  
(Pursuant to Section 6 of Article XIII B of the California Constitution and Sections 17500 et seq. of the Government Code).

\$ \_\_\_\_\_

*Check reason(s) this regulation is not reimbursable and provide the appropriate information:*

- ☒ a. Implements the Federal mandate contained in 0
- ☐ b. Implements the court mandate set forth by the Regulations impose new reporting in RDRS, which is l Court.

Case of: Facility gate attendants, bookkeepers, acc vs. These regulatory updates are needed to im

- ☒ c. Implements a mandate of the people of this State expressed in their approval of Proposition No. CalRecycle

Date of Election: \_\_\_\_\_

- ☐ d. Issued only in response to a specific request from affected local entity(s).

Local entity(s) affected: \_\_\_\_\_  
70

- ☐ e. Will be fully financed from the fees, revenue, etc. from: To increase understanding of the infrastructure and flows of disposed ai

Authorized by Section: CalRecycle has a vested int of the N/A Code;

- ☐ f. Provides for savings to each affected unit of local government which will, at a minimum, offset any additional costs to each;

- ☒ g. Creates, eliminates, or changes the penalty for a new crime or infraction contained in \_\_\_\_\_

- ☐ 3. Annual Savings. (approximate)

\$ \_\_\_\_\_

- ☐ 4. No additional costs or savings. This regulation makes only technical, non-substantive or clarifying changes to current law regulations.

- ☐ 5. No fiscal impact exists. This regulation does not affect any local entity or program.

- ☒ 6. Other. Explain \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

**ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT  
(REGULATIONS AND ORDERS)**

STD. 399 (Rev. 10/2019)

**FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (CONTINUED)****B. FISCAL EFFECT ON STATE GOVERNMENT** *Indicate appropriate boxes 1 through 4 and attach calculations and assumptions of fiscal impact for the current year and two subsequent Fiscal Years.*☒ 1. Additional expenditures in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate)

\$ \_\_\_\_\_

*It is anticipated that State agencies will:*☐ a. Absorb these additional costs within their existing budgets and resources.☐ b. Increase the currently authorized budget level for the \_\_\_\_\_ Fiscal Year☐ 2. Savings in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate)

\$ \_\_\_\_\_

☐ 3. No fiscal impact exists. This regulation does not affect any State agency or program.☐ 4. Other. Explain \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_**C. FISCAL EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDING OF STATE PROGRAMS** *Indicate appropriate boxes 1 through 4 and attach calculations and assumptions of fiscal impact for the current year and two subsequent Fiscal Years.*☐ 1. Additional expenditures in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate)

\$ \_\_\_\_\_

☐ 2. Savings in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate)

\$ \_\_\_\_\_

☒ 3. No fiscal impact exists. This regulation does not affect any federally funded State agency or program.☐ 4. Other. Explain \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

FISCAL OFFICER SIGNATURE

 **Brandy Hunt** Digitally signed by Brandy Hunt  
Date: 2022.12.02 11:36:04 -08'00'

DATE

*The signature attests that the agency has completed the STD. 399 according to the instructions in SAM sections 6601-6616, and understands the impacts of the proposed rulemaking. State boards, offices, or departments not under an Agency Secretary must have the form signed by the highest ranking official in the organization.*

AGENCY SECRETARY

  
Eric Survis (Jan 9, 2023 14:02 PST)

DATE

*Finance approval and signature is required when SAM sections 6601-6616 require completion of Fiscal Impact Statement in the STD. 399.*

DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE PROGRAM BUDGET MANAGER



DATE