STATE OF CALIFORNIA — DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (REGULATIONS AND ORDERS) STD. 399 (Rev. 10/2019)

ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT

	Economic initiaet str	T ENTEIN I		
DEPARTMENT NAME	CONTACT PERSON	EMAIL ADDRESS	TELEPHONE NUMBER	
CalRecycle	Craig Castleton	craig.castleton@calrecycle.ca.gov	916-322-1238	
DESCRIPTIVE TITLE FROM NOTICE REGISTER OR FORM 400			NOTICE FILE NUMBER	
Recycling and Disposal Reporting System (RDRS) Permanent Rulemaking				
A. ESTIMATED PRIVATE SECTOR COST IMPAGE	CTS Include calculations and assumption	s in the rulemaking record.		
	·			
 Check the appropriate box(es) below to indicate a. Impacts business and/or employees 	e whether this regulation: X e. Imposes reporting require	oments		
b. Impacts small businesses	f. Imposes prescriptive inst	ead of performance		
c. Impacts jobs or occupations	g. Impacts individuals			
d. Impacts California competitiveness	h. None of the above (Expla	iin below):		
If any box in Items 1 a	a through g is checked, complete this	Economic Impact Statement.		
If box in Item 1.h. is	s checked, complete the Fiscal Impac	t Statement as appropriate.		
CalRecycle				
2. The(Agency/Department)	estimates that the economic imp	act of this regulation (which includes the	fiscal impact) is:	
■ Below \$10 million				
Between \$10 and \$25 million				
Between \$25 and \$50 million				
		hanita a Chanadan dina di Banadahan dan anta An		
	s over \$50 million, agencies are required to sunt ont Code Section 11346.3(c)]	omit a <u>Standardizea Regulatory impact As</u>	<u>sessment</u>	
3. Enter the total number of businesses impacted:	~2300			
Describe the types of businesses (Include nonp	rofits): Businesses in the waste and	recycling collection and proces	sing industries	
Enter the number or percentage of total businesses impacted that are small businesses:	~33%			
4. Enter the number of businesses that will be created	ated: 0 eliminated:	0		
Explain: Regulations impose new repo	orting in RDRS, which is unlikely to	generate new or eliminate exi	sting businesses.	
5. Indicate the geographic extent of impacts:	7 Statewide			
5. Indicate the geographic extent of impacts.	_			
L	Local or regional (List areas):			
6. Enter the number of jobs created: 70	and eliminated: 0			
Describe the types of jobs or occupations impa	cted: Facility gate attendants, boo	kkeepers, accountants, and auc	liting clerks at waste,	
recycling, composting, hauling, tran	sportation, and disposal operation	ons.		
7. Will the regulation affect the ability of California other states by making it more costly to produc		⊠ NO		
If YES, explain briefly:				
-				

STATE OF CALIFORNIA — DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (REGULATIONS AND ORDERS)

B. ESTIMATED COSTS *Include calculations and assumptions in the rulemaking record.*

STD. 399 (Rev. 10/2019)

ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT (CONTINUED)

What are the total statewide dollar costs that businesses		
a. Initial costs for a small business: \$120	Annual ongoing costs: \$ 3,746	
b. Initial costs for a typical business: \$120	Annual ongoing costs: \$ 3,746	
c. Initial costs for an individual: \$N/A	Annual ongoing costs: \$ N/A	Years: N/A
d. Describe other economic costs that may occur: Expand to hire additional staff as noted in	"A ESTIMATED DDIVATE SECTOD COST IMP	PACTS Question 6 "
expand to file additional stall as noted in	A. ESTIMATED PRIVATE SECTOR COST IMP	ACTS, Question 6.
2. If multiple industries are impacted, enter the share of to	tal costs for each industry: Only recycling and wa	ste material management industries
3. If the regulation imposes reporting requirements, enter t Include the dollar costs to do programming, record keeping		
4. Will this regulation directly impact housing costs? $\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \$	res 🔀 no	
If YE	S, enter the annual dollar cost per housing unit: \$	
	Number of units:	
5. Are there comparable Federal regulations?		
Explain the need for State regulation given the existence	or absence of Federal regulations: These regulator	ry updates are needed to implement
SB 343 and AB 881. The regulations will allo		
	lables are the disease Chate Federal differences C.N./	
Enter any additional costs to businesses and/or individua	is that may be due to state - rederal differences: \$	
ESTIMATED BENEFITS Estimation of the dollar value	of benefits is not specifically required by rulemaking lav	v, but encouraged.
 Briefly summarize the benefits of the regulation, which n health and welfare of California residents, worker safety the public to evaluate product recyclability 	and the State's environment: Data collected und	
reduction mandates, and CalRecycle to pro	oduce strategies to reduce waste and crea	te a circular economy.
2. Are the benefits the result of: 🔀 specific statutory requ	irements, or goals developed by the agency base	d on broad statutory authority?
Explain: AB 901, AB 881, and SB 343 authorize	the RDRS regulations.	
3. What are the total statewide benefits from this regulation	n over its lifetime? \$ Unknown	
4. Briefly describe any expansion of businesses currently do	sing business within the State of California that would re	ocult from this regulation, Rusinesses may
expand to hire additional staff as noted in	_	
D. ALTERNATIVES TO THE DESIGNATION.	detino and account to the order of the	:
D. ALTERNATIVES TO THE REGULATION Include calcustation specifically required by rulemaking law, but encouraged		imation of the dollar value of benefits is not
List alternatives considered and describe them below. If	no alternatives were considered explain why not. Alte	ernative 1 is the least-cost alternative
for each of the three groups of proposed of		

STATE OF CALIFORNIA — DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (REGULATIONS AND ORDERS) STD. 399 (Rev. 10/2019)

ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT (CONTINUED)

	,					
2.	Summarize the total statewide costs and benefits from this regulation and each alternative considered:					
	Regulation: Benefit: \$ Unknown Cost: \$ 8,547,278					
	Alternative 1: Benefit: \$ Unknown Cost: \$ 1,295,697					
	Alternative 2: Benefit: \$ Cost: \$					
3.	Briefly discuss any quantification issues that are relevant to a comparison					
	of estimated costs and benefits for this regulation or alternatives: We are unable to estimate the benefits' monetary value, making comparison difficult. But, the alternatives will not enable CalRecycle to effectively meet program and statutory goals.					
	compansor difficult. But, the alternatives will not enable carrecycle to effectively fileet program and statutory goals.					
1.	Rulemaking law requires agencies to consider performance standards as an alternative, if a regulation mandates the use of specific technologies or equipment, or prescribes specific actions or procedures. Were performance standards considered to lower compliance costs? YES NO					
	Explain: CalRecycle has a vested interest in ensuring that collected data are accurate. To meet this goal and simplify compliance					
	for entities, the regulations list acceptable methods for capturing data but also allow entities to seek approval for alternatives					
	MAJOR REGULATIONS Include calculations and assumptions in the rulemaking record.					
	California Environmental Protection Agency (Cal/EPA) boards, offices and departments are required to submit the following (per Health and Safety Code section 57005). Otherwise, skip to E4.					
1.	Will the estimated costs of this regulation to California business enterprises exceed \$10 million ? YES X NO					
	If YES, complete E2. and E3 If NO, skip to E4					
2.	Briefly describe each alternative, or combination of alternatives, for which a cost-effectiveness analysis was performed:					
	Alternative 1:					
	Alternative 2:					
	(Attach additional pages for other alternatives)					
3.	For the regulation, and each alternative just described, enter the estimated total cost and overall cost-effectiveness ratio:					
	Regulation: Total Cost \$ Cost-effectiveness ratio: \$					
	Alternative 1: Total Cost \$ Cost-effectiveness ratio: \$					
	Alternative 2: Total Cost \$ Cost-effectiveness ratio: \$					
4.	Will the regulation subject to OAL review have an estimated economic impact to business enterprises and individuals located in or doing business in California exceeding \$50 million in any 12-month period between the date the major regulation is estimated to be filed with the Secretary of State through 12 months after the major regulation is estimated to be fully implemented?					
	☐ YES ☒ NO					
	If YES, agencies are required to submit a <u>Standardized Regulatory Impact Assessment (SRIA)</u> as specified in Government Code Section 11346.3(c) and to include the SRIA in the Initial Statement of Reasons.					
5.	Briefly describe the following:					
	The increase or decrease of investment in the State: The regulatory updates will not likely eliminate or create new positions. Thus,					
	investment in the state will likely be unaffected by the regulatory changes.					
	The incentive for innovation in products, materials or processes: Not applicable. The updates alter how facilities report existing					
	operations in RDRS, rather than attempt to change facility operations.					
	The benefits of the regulations, including, but not limited to, benefits to the health, safety, and welfare of California residents, worker safety, and the state's environment and quality of life, among any other benefits identified by the agency: The updated regulations					
	will improve understanding of California's recovery infrastructure and help California's create strategies to reduce waste					

STATE OF CALIFORNIA — DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (REGULATIONS AND ORDERS) STD. 399 (Rev. 10/2019)

FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

1. Additional expenditures in the current State Fiscal (Pursuant to Section 6 of Article XIII B of the Californ			
\$			
a. Funding provided in			
Budget Act of	or Chapter	, Statutes of	
b. Funding will be requested in the Governor's B	_		
	Fiscal Year:		
2. Additional expenditures in the current State Fiscal			
(Pursuant to Section 6 of Article XIII B of the Califor	nia Constitution and Sectio	ns 17500 et seq. of the Government Code)	
\$ Check reason(s) this regulation is not reimbursable and	provide the appropriate info	rmation:	
a. Implements the Federal mandate contained in			
b. Implements the court mandate set forth by th	ne		Court.
Case of:		vs	
c. Implements a mandate of the people of this St	tate expressed in their appr	oval of Proposition No.	
Date of Election:			
d. Issued only in response to a specific request fr			
Local entity(s) affected:			
e. Will be fully financed from the fees, revenue, e	etc. from:		
Authorized by Section:	of th	ne	Code;
f. Provides for savings to each affected unit of lo	ocal government which will	at a minimum, offset any additional costs	to each;
g. Creates, eliminates, or changes the penalty for	r a new crime or infraction o	contained in	
3. Annual Savings. (approximate)			
\$			
No additional costs or savings. This regulation makes	s only technical, non-substaı	ntive or clarifying changes to current law reg	gulations.
5. No fiscal impact exists. This regulation does not affe	ct any local entity or prograr	n.	
	impose a cost on local	governments, but the costs are not (unique to local government
		ogram or an increased level of serv	

STATE OF CALIFORNIA — DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (REGULATIONS AND ORDERS)

STD. 399 (Rev. 10/2019)

FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (CONTINUED)

B. FISCAL EFFECT ON STATE GOVERNMENT Indicate appropriate boxes 1 through 4 and attach calculations and as year and two subsequent Fiscal Years.	sumptions of fiscal impact for the curren					
1. Additional expenditures in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate)						
\$ 164,667						
It is anticipated that State agencies will:						
	a. Absorb these additional costs within their existing budgets and resources.					
b. Increase the currently authorized budget level for theFiscal Year						
2. Savings in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate)						
\$						
3. No fiscal impact exists. This regulation does not affect any State agency or program.						
4. Other. Explain						
C. FISCAL EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDING OF STATE PROGRAMS Indicate appropriate boxes 1 through 4 and attain impact for the current year and two subsequent Fiscal Years.	ch calculations and assumptions of fisca					
1. Additional expenditures in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate)						
\$						
2. Savings in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate)						
\$						
3. No fiscal impact exists. This regulation does not affect any federally funded State agency or program.						
4. Other. Explain						
FISCAL OFFICER SIGNATURE	DATE					
Brandy Hunt Digitally signed by Brandy Hunt Date: 2023.05.15 15:30:41 -07'00'						
The signature attests that the agency has completed the STD. 399 according to the instructions in SAM secti the impacts of the proposed rulemaking. State boards, offices, or departments not under an Agency Secreta highest ranking official in the organization.						
AGENCY SECRETARY	DATE					
Frie Ippie (IVI 11 2022 11/00 PDT)	07/11/2023					
Eric Jarvis (Jul 11, 2023 11:09 PDT) Finance approval and signature is required when SAM sections 6601-6616 require completion of Fiscal Imp	pact Statement in the STD. 399.					
DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE PROGRAM BUDGET MANAGER	DATE					