ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (REGULATIONS AND ORDERS) STD. 399 (REV. 12/2013)

ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT

DEPARTMENT NAME	CONTACT PERSON	EMAIL ADDRESS	TELEPHONE NUMBER
	Bineti Vitta	Bineti.Vitta@calrecycle.ca	(916) 341-6273
DESCRIPTIVE TITLE FROM NOTICE REGISTER OR FORM 400			NOTICE FILE NUMBER
Diversion and Disposal Reporting Syste	e <mark>m</mark>	*	Z
A. ESTIMATED PRIVATE SECTOR COST IMPAC	CTS Include calculations and	assumptions in the rulemaking record.	
1. Check the appropriate box(es) below to indicate	e whether this regulation:		
a. Impacts business and/or employees	X e. Imposes repo	orting requirements	
X b. Impacts small businesses	f. Imposes pres	criptive instead of performance	
	g. Impacts indiv	viduals	
d. Impacts California competitiveness	h. None of the	above (Explain below):	
If any box in Items 1 a	through g is checked, cor	nplete this Economic Impact Statement.	
		scal Impact Statement as appropriate.	
CalRecycle	NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE PARTY O		
2. The(Agency/Department)	estimates that the ec	onomic impact of this regulation (which includes t	he fiscal impact) is:
ヌ Below \$10 million			
Between \$10 and \$25 million			
Between \$25 and \$50 million			
	s over \$50 million. aaencies are r	equired to submit a <u>Standardized Regulatory Impact</u>	Assessment
	nt Code Section 11346.3(c)]	<u> </u>	
	2,000		
3. Enter the total number of businesses impacted:	~ 3,000		
Describe the types of businesses (Include nonpo	rofits): Businesses in the v	vaste and recycling collection and proc	essing industries
No. 10 No	THE STATE OF THE S	, , ,	
Enter the number or percentage of total businesses impacted that are small businesses:	~ 33%		
	3		
4. Enter the number of businesses that will be crea	ated: 0	eliminated: 0	
Explain:			
Ехріані.			
5. Indicate the geographic extent of impacts: X	Statewide		
	Local or regional (List areas):		
]		
6. Enter the number of jobs created: ~ 83	and eliminated: 0		
	Facility gate attend	lants and bookkeeping, accounting, and	d auditing clarks at
LO MADORES AS PROPERTIES ASSESSED TO THE CONTROL OF		ants and bookkeeping, accounting, and	a additing clerks at
waste, recycling, and composting fac	cilities.		
7. Will the regulation affect the ability of California	husinesses to compete with		
other states by making it more costly to produce		YES NO	
ICVEC			
If YES, explain briefly:			W W
			<u>*</u>

ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (REGULATIONS AND ORDERS) STD. 399 (REV. 12/2013)

ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT (CONTINUED)

B. ESTIMATED COSTS Include calcu	ulations and assumptions in th	e rulemaking record.	
1. What are the total statewide dollar of	costs that businesses and indiv	iduals may incur to comply with this regulation	on over its lifetime? \$ 57,701,000
a. Initial costs for a small business:	\$ <u>386</u>	Annual ongoing costs: \$ 1,883	Years: 10
b. Initial costs for a typical business	:: \$ <u>386</u>	Annual ongoing costs: \$ 1,883	Years: 10
c. Initial costs for an individual:	\$N/A	Annual ongoing costs: \$	Years:
d. Describe other economic costs the	hat may occur:		
2. If multiple industries are impacted,	enter the share of total costs fo	or each industry: See supplemental she	et.
If the regulation imposes reporting a lock de the dollar costs to do program	requirements, enter the annua	l costs a typical business may incur to comply	with these requirements. erwork must be submitted. \$ See B1 above
Will this regulation directly impact h		X NO	7
4. Will this regulation directly impact in		ne annual dollar cost per housing unit: \$N/A	
5. Are there comparable Federal regula	ations? YES	Number of units: $\frac{N/A}{N}$	
Λ			l help clarify the requirements in AB
		ding timing and acceptable method	
		8	D
Enter any additional costs to busines	ses and/or individuals that ma	y be due to State - Federal differences: $\frac{N}{I}$	1
C. ESTIMATED BENEFITS Estimation	n of the dollar value of benefits	s is not specifically required by rulemaking la	n, but encouraged.
Briefly summarize the benefits of the health and welfare of California residual.	e regulation, which may includ dents, worker safety and the St	e among others, the cate's environment: Data collected will	help CalRecycle evaluate California's
recycling infrastructure, gui	ide strategies to achieve	e the statewide 75% recycling goal,	and improve enforcement of
recycling mandates. Indirec	t benefits include reduc	ction of greenhouse gases and pres	ervation of landfill green space.
2. Are the benefits the result of: 🔀 sp	pecific statutory requirements,	or 💢 goals developed by the agency base	d on broad statutory authority?
Explain: To understand the inf	frastructure and flows o	f recycling, compost, and disposed	materials in California.
3. What are the total statewide benefit	ts from this regulation over its	ifetime? \$ Unknown	V
3. What are the total statewide benefit	is from this regulation over its i	medifie: 3 Officiowii	
4. Briefly describe any expansion of bu	isinesses currently doing busin	ess within the State of California that would r	esult from this regulation: Unknown
v n			
D. ALTERNATIVES TO THE RESULT	TION I and described as a	ad annual and in the surface alian appeared. Fet	imation of the dellar value of honofite is not
specifically required by rulemaking		nd assumptions in the rulemaking record. Est	mation of the dollar value of benefits is not
1. List alternatives considered and des	cribe them below. If no alterna	tives were considered, explain why not: Alt	1: Require recyclers and composters
to report jurisdiction of orig	jin for materials dispose	ed. Alt 2: Require reporting entities t	o list all end users individually
instead of by category.			
			PAGE 2

ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (REGULATIONS AND ORDERS) STD. 399 (REV. 12/2013)

ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT (CONTINUED)

2. Summarize	the total statewide	costs and benefits f	rom this regulation and ea	ch alternative considered:	
Regulation	n: Benefit: \$_	Unknown	Cost: \$ 7,330,000		
Alternativ	e 1: Benefit: \$ _	Unknown	Cost: \$ 11,894,000		
Alternativ	e 2: Benefit: \$ _	Unknown	Cost: \$ 7,578,000		
		on issues that are rele fits for this regulatio	vant to a comparison n or alternatives:	*	
4 Rulemakin	a law requires age	ncies to consider ne	rformance standards as a	n alternative if a	
regulation	mandates the use	of specific technolo	gies or equipment, or pro rds considered to lower of	escribes specific	□ NO
Explain: T	o determine ju	risdiction of orig	gin, reporting entitie	s may use methods liste	ed in 18815.9(b). To determine source
sector, r	eporting entiti	es may use met	hods listed in 18815	9(c). To determine weig	tht see methods listed in 18815.9(d).
E. MAJOR R	EGULATIONS Incl	lude calculations an	d assumptions in the rule	making record.	
				(EPA) boards, offices and ty Code section 57005). O	departments are required to therwise, skip to E4.
1. Will the est	imated costs of thi	s regulation to Califo	rnia business enterprises e	exceed \$10 million? YES	⊠ NO
			(#) S	plete E2. and E3 skip to E4	
2. Briefly desc	cribe each alternati	ve, or combination o	f alternatives, for which a	cost-effectiveness analysis was	performed:
Alternative	e 1:				
Alternative	e 2:				
(Attach add	ditional pages for ot	her alternatives)			
3. For the reg	gulation, and each a	alternative just descr	ibed, enter the estimated	cotal cost and overall cost-effe	ctiveness ratio:
Regulation	n: Total Cost \$		Cost-effective	eness ratio: \$	
Alternative	e 1: Total Cost \$		Cost-effective	eness ratio: \$	
Alternative	e 2: Total Cost \$		Cost-effective	eness ratio: \$	
exceeding	\$50 million in any	OAL review have an e 12-month period bet estimated to be fully i	ween the date the major	t to business enterprises and i egulation is estimated to be fi	ndividuals located in or doing business in California led with the Secretary of State through 12 months
YES	⋈ NO				
			ed Regulatory Impact Asses. the SRIA in the Initial State		
5. Briefly des	cribe the following:	i			
The increa	se or decrease of ir	ovestment in the Stat	e:	N/	A
The incent	tive for innovation i	in products, material	s or processes:		N/A
The benef	its of the regulation	ns, including, but not	limited to, benefits to the	health, safety, and welfare of	California
residents,	worker safety, and	the state's environm	ent and quality of life, amo	ong any other benefits identifi	ed by the agency: Data collected will help
CalRecy	cle evaluate Ca	alifornia's recycli	ng infrastructure an	d guide strategies to ac	hieve the statewide 75% recycling goal.

ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (REGULATIONS AND ORDERS) STD. 399 (REV. 12/2013)

FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

A. FISCAL EFFECT ON LOCAL GOVERNMEN current year and two subsequent Fiscal Years		rough 6 and attach calculations	and assumptions of fiscal impact for the
1. Additional expenditures in the current St (Pursuant to Section 6 of Article XIII B of t			
\$			
a. Funding provided in		w	
Budget Act of	or Chapter	, Statutes of	
b. Funding will be requested in the Go	vernor's Budget Act of		
	Fiscal Year:	¥X	SE
2. Additional expenditures in the current St (Pursuant to Section 6 of Article XIII B of t			
\$			
Check reason(s) this regulation is not reimbur	sable and provide the appropriate in	formation:	
a. Implements the Federal mandate co	ntained in		
b. Implements the court mandate set for	orth by the		Court.
Case of:	Ф.	VS	
c. Implements a mandate of the people	e of this State expressed in their ap	proval of Proposition No.	
Date of Election:			
d. Issued only in response to a specific	request from affected local entity(s	s).	
Local entity(s) affected:			
		10	
e. Will be fully financed from the fees, r	evenue, etc. from:		B
Authorized by Section:	of	the	Code;
f. Provides for savings to each affected	unit of local government which w	ill, at a minimum, offset any addi	itional costs to each;
g. Creates, eliminates, or changes the p	enalty for a new crime or infraction	n contained in	
3. Annual Savings. (approximate)		х	8
\$			
4. No additional costs or savings. This regulat		antive or clarifying changes to cu	rrent law regulations.
5. No fiscal impact exists. This regulation doe	s not affect any local entity or progr	am.	
	ations will have additional	costs from increased facil	lity reporting and equipment, but
			nation to CalRecycle. See attached.

ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (REGULATIONS AND ORDERS) STD. 399 (REV. 12/2013)

FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (CONTINUED)

B. FISCAL EFFECT ON STATE GOVERNMENT Indicate appropriate boxes 1 through 4 and attach calculations and as year and two subsequent Fiscal Years.	ssumptions of fiscal impact for the current
1. Additional expenditures in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate)	
\$ 118,000 (Y1); \$236,000 (Y2 & Y3)	
It is anticipated that State agencies will:	
a. Absorb these additional costs within their existing budgets and resources.	
b. Increase the currently authorized budget level for theFiscal Year	
2. Savings in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate)	
\$	
3. No fiscal impact exists. This regulation does not affect any State agency or program.	
4. Other. Explain	
	1
C. FISCAL EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDING OF STATE PROGRAMS Indicate appropriate boxes 1 through 4 and attainment for the current year and two subsequent Fiscal Years.	ach calculations and assumptions of fiscal
1. Additional expenditures in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate)	_
\$	
2. Savings in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate)	
\$	
3. No fiscal impact exists. This regulation does not affect any federally funded State agency or program.	
4. Other. Explain	
FISCAL OFFICER SIGNATURE	DATE
Signature on File	9/21/18
The signature attests that the agency has completed the STD. 399 according to the instructions in SAM sect	
the impacts of the proposed rulemaking. State boards, offices, or departments not under an Agency Secretc highest ranking official in the organization.	iry must have the form signed by the
AGENCY SECRETARY	DATE
Signature on File	10/8/2018
Finance approval and signature is required when SAM sections 6601-6616 require completion of Fiscal Im	pact Statement in the STD. 399.
DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE PROGRAM BUDGET MANAGER	DATE